EVALUATION OF THE THESIS					
Name of Student:					
Neptun code, program, full-time/pa	rt-				
time training:					
Thesis title:					
Name of opponent, post:					
Opponent's department/place of					
employment:					
 Selection of topic and title (points of interest, novelty; relevance, motivation for topic selection) 					
		max. 5 points			
2. Extent to which topic was develo	•				
Review of research literature (breadth, relevance, quality) Selection of methods, professional application, amount, quality and organization of collected					
material					
Analysis, interpretation (selection of analytical aspects, depth, quality, accuracy, clarity of analysis,					
interpretation of results)					
max. 20 points					
3. Execution of stylistic, formal elements					
- Linguistic formulation (spelling, punctuation, grammar, style)					
Structure (harmony between structure and content; proportionate articulation and marking					
of subtopics, etc.) max. 5 points					
- Bibliographical requirements (conformity of citation and bibliography) -					
Appendices (harmonious connection to topic, amount, quality) max. 5 points					
Usage of anti-plagiarism software (Turnitin) was applied and the thesis fulfills/does not					
fulfill the requirements.	e (Turrii	till) was applied and the thesis fullins/does not			
,	. •1	(4)			
·	ail	(1)			
15 - 19 points page 20 - 24 points satisfa	ass	(2) (3)			
25 - 29 points good	ctory	(4)			
30 - 35 points excelle	nt	(5)			
		(-1			
The suggested mark/grade for the thesis based on total points*: 33 points					
(number) (written)					
		Signature of Opponent,			
Budapest,(month)20,(day)2023(year)					

Questions:		
1)		